

REMARKS

In accordance with the foregoing, FIG. 6B has been amended to include reference to Notes 6), 7), 8), and 9). Proper support for such amendments may be found in at least paragraphs [0076] through [0079].

In accordance with the foregoing, claims 1, 3, and 4 have been amended. Claim 1 has been amended to include at least some of the features of claim 2, and, as such, claim 2 has been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Claims 1 and 3 through 11 are pending and under consideration. No new matter is presented in this Amendment.

DOUBLE PATENTING

Claims 1 and 3 through 11 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of copending Application No. 10/647,440.

Claims 1 and 3 through 11 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of copending Application No. 10/647,443.

The applicant has filed a terminal disclaimer to overcome the provisional rejections.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102:

Claims 1 and 3 through 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Lamkin et al. (U.S. Patent 7,178,106). The rejection is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested.

Lamkin discloses an enhanced DVD application program interface (API) to allow DVD authors to integrate content from the Internet (col. 4, lines 60-65.) The user interacts with the content, and instructions are transmitted to various components of the DVD apparatus, via the API (col. 12, lines 57-62.)

In contrast, claim 1 recites, inter alia, wherein the AV playback engine is informed of an occurrence of a key input event corresponding to a user action wherein first event information

comprises event registration information to check whether the user performed the action and event handling information to handle the event by controlling an operation of the AV playback engine when the key input event occurs.

Lamkin does not disclose all the limitations of claim 1 as amended. The Examiner refers to Table A.1.41 as corresponding the event registration information and the event handling information. However, Table A.1.41 is information not for checking whether a user performed an action, but for checking validity of a user operation. Lamkin therefore does not disclose that the event registration information to check whether the user performed the action and event handling information to handle the event by controlling an operation of the AV playback engine when the key input event occurs. Accordingly, Lamkin does not disclose all the elements of claim 1 and the rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 3 through 11 depend on claim 1 and are deemed patentable for at least the reasons given above with respect to claim 1.

CONCLUSION:

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.


Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 503333.

Respectfully submitted,

STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP

Date: 8/20/07

By: 
Michael D. Stein
Registration No. 37,240

1400 Eye St., NW
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 216-9505
Facsimile: (202) 216-9510